

BOROUGH COUNCIL OF KING'S LYNN AND WEST NORFOLK

RECORD OF DECISION TAKEN BY OFFICERS UNDER DELEGATED POWERS

This is a record of a decision taken by an officer under delegated powers and where necessary taken in consultation with members and officers.

ASSET OF COMMUNITY VALUE LISTING – TERRINGTON ST JOHN METHODIST CHURCH

Delegated Power

Council Constitution

Part 3 – Responsibilities for Council Functions

Section 2 – Functions Delegated to Cabinet Members and Officers – Scheme of Delegation

Decision Taken

To list the Terrington St John Methodist Church as an Asset of Community Value (ACV) according to (s.88(1)(a) Localism Act 2011) to further the well-being or social interests of the local community.

Reasons for the Decision

Applicable Law

Localism Act 2011 sections 97 to 108 and the Assets of Community Value (England) Regulations 2012.

Section 88 – an ACV is land in west Norfolk that the borough council consider to have community value on the basis that:

- S.88(1)(a) the primary current use of the land furthers the social well-being or social interests of the local community
- S.88 (1)(b) it is realistic to think that the land can continue to be used in a way that will further the social well-being or social interests of the local community
- S89 it must be a community nomination – land in the local area and by a local group (as defined in article 5)

Nomination from the Parish

- The Parish are a local group and meet the article 5 criteria
- Article 6 – the nomination contains a plan and sufficient information to identify the owners of the property. The property is local.
- Evidence for concluding that the land is of community value – the property is available to the whole community and available for hire by the whole community for groups and clubs.

The property has been used occasionally or regularly for:

The Church of England Community

The school

Exercise Group

Rainbows

Brownies

Childrens birthdays, christenings, wakes

BCKLWN voting

Women's circle
Parish Council
The Golden Girls club
Terrington St John Village Hall Committee

The evidence provided is consistent with a history of community use of the property and the recommendation was to grant the ACV status.

Options Considered

A voluntary or community body that wishes to nominate land to be listed as an ACV must ensure that its nomination includes the following:

- A description of the nominated land including its proposed boundaries
- A statement of all the information considered in reaching the decision to nominate, including the names of any current occupants and the names and addresses of all those holding a freehold or leasehold estate in the land
- The reasons for the conclusion that the land is of community value
- Evidence that the voluntary or community body is eligible to make a community nomination.

The Terrington St John Parish council have met the requirements for the listing of the village hall as an ACV, and a decision has been taken to list according to legal advice obtained.

Any declarations of interest and details of any dispensations granted in respect of interests.

None

List of Background papers

Borough Council of Kings Lynn and West Norfolk ACV Policy

Authorisation

Post Held

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Signature



Date

17/02/2023.

Consultation with members/officers

If the decision is taken following consultation with the members/officers, please give details:

Consultation with ward members and Portfolio Holder has taken place.

Signed by Member as consulted:



Date

Pre-Screening Equality Impact Assessment

Borough Council of
King's Lynn & West Norfolk



Name of policy/service/function		Terrington St John Methodist Church – listing as an ACV				
Is this a new or existing policy/ service/function?		New-/ Existing (delete as appropriate)				
Brief summary/description of the main aims of the policy/service/function being screened. Please state if this policy/service rigidly constrained by statutory obligations		The Terrington St John Methodist Church is being listed as an Asset of Community Value, in line with the policy.				
Question		Answer				
<p>1. Is there any reason to believe that the policy/service/function could have a specific impact on people from one or more of the following groups according to their different protected characteristic, for example, because they have particular needs, experiences, issues or priorities or in terms of ability to access the service?</p> <p>Please tick the relevant box for each group.</p> <p>NB. Equality neutral means no negative impact on any group.</p>			Positive	Negative	Neutral	Unsure
		Age			x	
		Disability			x	
		Gender			x	
		Gender Re-assignment			x	
		Marriage/civil partnership			x	
		Pregnancy & maternity			x	
		Race			x	
		Religion or belief			x	
		Sexual orientation			x	
Other (eg low income)			x			
Question		Answer	Comments			
2. Is the proposed policy/service likely to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or denying opportunities to another?		Yes / No				
3. Could this policy/service be perceived as impacting on communities differently?		Yes / No				
4. Is the policy/service specifically designed to tackle evidence of disadvantage or potential discrimination?		Yes / No				
5. Are any impacts identified above minor and if so, can these be eliminated or reduced by minor actions? If yes, please agree actions with a member of the Corporate Equalities Working Group and list agreed actions in the comments section		Yes / No	Actions: Actions agreed by EWG member: Name ...H Howell.....			
Assessment completed by: Name Honor Howell						
Job title Assistant to the Chief Executive		Date 17 February 2023				
Please Note: If there are any positive or negative impacts identified in question 1, or there any 'yes' responses to questions 2 – 4 a full impact assessment will be required.						